

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,356	12/08/2003	JIUN-HAW LEE	9613-US-PA	1355
31561 7	7590 02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			KIM, PAUL D	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 100			3729	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/707,356	LEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul D. Kim	3729			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES on the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan		prosecution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disnositi	on of Claims					
_						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)						
_	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	7)∐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
لكاره	Ciaim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or e	rection requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appli	cation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
			•			
Attachment	• •	Λ □ 1=4== · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	onn (DTO 442)			
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Papei	' No(s)/Mail Date 	6)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to an organic electroluminescent device, classified in class 315, subclass 504.
 - II. Claims 11-19, drawn to a method for fabricating an organic electroluminescent device, classified in class 29, subclass 592.1The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions Group II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process not in the logical order recited in claim 11 such as a process of coupling the solar cell to a transform unit can be done prior to a process of providing a transparent substrate having an electroluminescent area and the exposure area.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,356

Art Unit: 3729

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3729

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul D. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 6:00 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul D Kim
Examiner

Art Unit 3729